

## EDITORIAL

In this third issue of the Journal the twin themes are gravestones and ships. It wasn't planned that way - it arises entirely from the articles that people have written. Nevertheless, everyone should find something of interest here, but if you are hard to please the implications are clear - persuade someone to write the articles you would like to read!

The article based on the Newcastle Chamberlains' accounts for the years 1508 to 1511 introduces some new material to the maritime history of the Glaven ports. The records of coal exports include so many entries for ships from north Norfolk that it is possible to prepare tables which seem to have some statistical significance, a welcome change from the incidental references on which so much of our history is based.

Readers may know that the Society is engaged in some detailed studies at Wiveton, but although some results have been displayed in exhibitions they have not yet been written up in a form suitable for the Journal. Even so, three of the articles do relate to Wiveton, including one (reprinted from the *Glaven Valley Newsletter*) which records the discovery of some unsuspected medieval glass surviving in the church.

Some people have read the last issue (No. 2) carefully enough to have discovered some errors. The corrections are as follows:

page 18      In line 10 of the second paragraph the reference to the 1<sup>st</sup> Norfolks should read '*1/1<sup>st</sup> Norfolk Yeomanry*'.

page 20      The details set out for J.W.Grimes actually refer to another person of the same name. The correct version should read:

*James W. Grimes, age 31, born in Cley, No. 309857,  
Blacksmith's mate RN, died 31 5.1916 in  
HMS Invincible, Portsmouth Naval Memorial.*

page 25      The Records Officer at Norfolk Landscape Archaeology has commented that the description of the Chapel on Blakeney Eye taken from the Sites and Monuments Record (SMR) does not necessarily represent their view: it was written some time ago by an OS inspector and is held in the SMR along with similar material from other sources.

page 34      In the first paragraph (last line) reference should have been made to Norfolk County Council's '*Monument Management Programme*' (not the Monument Protection Programme which is operated by English Heritage).

As always, new articles and ideas for improving the Journal to the Editor, please.